arXiv:1503.03254v1 [hep-th] 11 Mar 2015

Lifshitz Hydrodynamics And New Massive Gravity

Arpan Bhattacharyya* and Dibakar Roychowdhury†
Centre for High Energy Physics, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560012, India

(Dated: March 12, 2015)

In this paper, using the techniques of Gauge/gravity duality we explore the hydrodynamic regime of $z = 3$ Lifshitz fixed points in $1+1$ dimensions. The speed of sound in the non relativistic plasma turns out to be $\sqrt{3}$, which clearly violates the conjectured upper bound. We identify this as a natural consequence of the Lorentz symmetry breakdown at $z = 3$ Lifshitz fixed point. Finally, the non perturbative correction appearing from the higher derivative sector of the New Massive Gravity seems to preserve the conjectured lower bound for the bulk viscosity to entropy ratio. We also propose some practical set up to test these results.

I. OVERVIEW AND MOTIVATION

Fluid dynamics is the low energy effective description of finite temperature QFTs perturbed from their usual thermal equilibrium by means of small fluctuations [1]. In recent times, the Gauge/gravity duality has been found to shed enough light on various key aspects of hydrodynamic transports in a strongly coupled fluid [2]. In other words, the Gauge/gravity duality provides a unique framework to explore the low frequency behavior of two point correlators between different components of the stress tensor in the strong coupling regime.

Among various remarkable outcomes of the Gauge/gravity duality, it is the existence of the sound modes in a strongly coupled plasma [3] that turns out to be the prime focus of our current analysis. It is the standard lesson of fluid dynamics which tells us that sound waves can always propagate through a finite temperature fluid medium. The information regarding the sound velocity could be extracted by knowing the poles in the expression for the retarded two point correlators between some of the components of the stress tensor [3].

The goal of the present analysis is to explore the hydrodynamic regime for a class of non relativistic strongly coupled fluids in $1+1$ dimensions using the standard techniques of Gauge/gravity duality. The bulk construction for our analysis turns out to be the $z = 3$ Lifshitz black hole solutions of New Massive Gravity (NMG) [4]. There are in fact several motivations behind our present analysis which we quote systematically.
(i) Since the Lorentz symmetry is explicitly broken for the dual fluid dynamical system under consideration, therefore one should expect some natural violation of the conjectured upper bound on the speed of sound particularly for this fluid medium [5, 6]. The present calculation is a concrete example in favour of the above argument.
(ii) It turns out to be an interesting issue to explore the effect of genuine higher derivative (NMG) corrections on the bulk viscosity to entropy (ζ/s) ratio in $1+1$ dimensions [7] and to see whether we get a bound violation just like the case for the shear viscosity to entropy entropy ratio [8]. Interestingly this issue is completely unexplored for non relativistic strongly coupled fluids (particularly in lower dimensions) and the present calculation is the first non trivial example to the best of our knowledge [1].
(iii)Finally, and most importantly, there are enough motivations to study non relativistic fluids in $1+1$ dimensions solely from the perspective of the usual condensed matter systems [10, 11].

II. THE BULK SOLUTION

We start our analysis with a brief introduction to the bulk construction, namely the Lifshitz solution in the context of New Massive Gravity (NMG)[12]. The corresponding action in the NMG reads as [4, 12],

$$S_{NMG} = \frac{1}{2\kappa}\int d^3x\sqrt{g}\Big[R - 2\Lambda - \lambda\Big(R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} - \frac{3}{8}R^2\Big)\Big]. \tag{1}$$

Here Λ is the cosmological constant and λ is the NMG coupling constant. The above action (1) admits a Lifshitz solution of the type,

$$ds^2 = -\frac{r^{2z}}{l^{2z}}f(r)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{g(r)} + \frac{r^2}{l^2}dx^2 \tag{2}$$

where [4],

$$f(r) = \frac{r^2}{l^2}g(r) = \Big(1 - \frac{M^2l^2}{r^2}\Big)$$

together with,

$$z = 3, \quad \Lambda = -\frac{13}{2l^2}, \quad \lambda = -2l^2\,.$$

The horizon is located at $r = r_h = Ml$. For convenience, we set $l = 1$ in the subsequent calculations.

Interestingly in our analysis we observe that unlike the case for the usual higher derivative theories in AdS [13],

---

* arpan@cts.iisc.ernet.in
† dibakarphys@gmail.com, dibakar@cts.iisc.ernet.in

[1] For other relevant references see [9].

the equations of motion corresponding to the hydrodynamic (spin 0) fluctuations turn out to be fourth order linear differential equations which thereby invite a completely different as well as challenging platform to compute the hydrodynamic transports for the non relativistic $z = 3$ plasma at strong coupling.

III. THERMODYNAMICS

In this section we provide a brief discussion to the basic thermodynamic principles and/or identities which any fluid dynamical system must obey. These are the so called first law of fluid mechanics and the Euler relation [1]. Before we actually come to these issues, let us first note that the Wald entropy density (s) for the above black hole solution (2) turns out to be [14],

$$s = \frac{8\pi r_h}{\kappa} \tag{3}$$

which also matches with [15] in the appropriate limit.

The energy density (ϵ) could be formally obtained by integrating over the Noether charge associated with the time like Killing symmetry[2] [15],

$$\epsilon = \frac{r_h^4}{\kappa}. \tag{4}$$

The Hawking temperature (T_h) associated with the black hole solution (2) turns out to be [4], [15],

$$T_h = \frac{r_h^z}{2\pi}. \tag{5}$$

It is now in fact a quite trivial task to check that the above entities (3), (4) and (5) trivially satisfy the first law [1],

$$d\epsilon = T_h ds. \tag{6}$$

Next, we compute the pressure density (p) using the Euler relation [1],

$$p = T_h s - \epsilon = \frac{3r_h^4}{\kappa}. \tag{7}$$

Finally, using (4) and (7) the velocity of sound (v_s) turns out to be,

$$v_s = \sqrt{\frac{\partial p}{\partial \epsilon}} = \sqrt{3}. \tag{8}$$

Eq.(8) is precisely the speed of sound propagation from the perspective of the boundary hydrodynamics. The fact that $v_s > 1$ should not come as a surprise since we are dealing with a non relativistic system corresponding to $z = 3$ fixed point where in principle there should not be any upper bound on the speed of light. Incidentally because of this reason, the (conjectured) universality bound on the charge diffusion does not in general hold for Lifshitz like fixed points [20].

Finally, using (4) and (5) the heat capacity turns out to be,

$$C = \frac{4}{3\kappa}\left(2\pi T_h\right)^{1/3} \tag{9}$$

which turns out to be positive definite. Eq.(9) is an important ingredient in order to estimate the speed of sound (v_s) inside a fluid medium [21]. We will make more specific comments about it in the concluding section.

IV. HYDRODYNAMICS

In the standard framework of fluid dynamics, the velocity for sound modes are obtained by knowing the pole(s) in the expression for the retarded correlator(s) of the type $< T_{tx}T_{tx} >$ [3, 22]. As far as the current analysis is concerned, the stress tensor corresponding to the boundary non conformal fluid could be formally expressed as,

$$T_{\mu\nu} = (\epsilon + p)u_\mu u_\nu + p\eta_{\mu\nu} - \zeta(u_\mu u_\nu + \eta_{\mu\nu})\partial_\lambda u^\lambda \tag{10}$$

where u_μ is the 2-velocity of the fluid satisfying $u_\mu u^\mu = -1$ and ζ is the coefficient of bulk viscosity which appears as a consequence of the broken conformal invariance in the boundary theory. At this stage it is noteworthy to mention that for fluids in $1+1$ dimensions, it is the coefficient of bulk viscosity (ζ) that turns out to be the only existing nontrivial transport coefficient in the context of first order viscous hydrodynamics.

In order to find pole(s) in the expression for the retarded correlator(s), we first perturb the fluid in its rest frame as [23],

$$\begin{aligned} T_{tt} &= \epsilon + \delta T_{tt} \\ T_{tx} &= \delta T_{tx} \\ T_{xx} &= p + \delta T_{xx} \\ u_t = 1&, \quad u_x = \delta u_x. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Next, substituting the above perturbations (11) first into the conservation equations $\partial_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0$ and then performing the Fourier transform for each of the thermodynamic entities it is quite straightforward to obtain the following algebraic relation [23],

$$\Big(- i\omega^2 + iq^2 \frac{\partial p}{\partial \epsilon} + \frac{\zeta}{\epsilon + p}\omega q^2 \Big)\delta T_{tx} = 0. \tag{12}$$

The dispersion relation that follows trivially from (12) could be formally expressed as,

$$\omega = \pm v_s q - i\frac{\zeta}{2(\epsilon + p)}q^2 \tag{13}$$

---

[2] One can derive this result by using several other techniques for example, using the notion of renormalized holographic stress tensor [16, 17] or dimensionally reducing to dilaton gravity [18]. Interestingly, all these methods yield the same result and therefore are claimed to be consistent with each other [19].

where, $v_s^2 = \frac{\partial p}{\partial \epsilon}$ is the square of the sound velocity in the non conformal fluid. Using the Euler relation,

$$\epsilon + p = T_h s \tag{14}$$

one can finally express (13) in a more sophisticated form as,

$$\omega = \pm v_s q - i\frac{\zeta}{2T_h s}q^2. \tag{15}$$

With the above set up in hand, our next task is twofold, first, to check the validity of the conjectured upper bound on the velocity of sound [5, 6] for non conformal fluids corresponding to $z = 3$ Lifshitz fixed point and second, to explore the effect of *non perturbative* higher derivative (NMG) corrections on the bulk viscosity to entropy (ζ/s) ratio in $1+1$ dimensions and comment on its conjectured lower bound [7, 23].

V. SOUND MODES AND BULK VISCOSITY

The first step towards computing the holographic sound modes is to turn on the scalar (with respect to boundary rotational symmetry) perturbations in the bulk namely [3],

$$h_{\mu\nu} = \Big\{h_{tt}, h_{tx}, h_{xx}\Big\} \tag{16}$$

where we have fixed the gauge $h_{\mu r} = 0$. This gauge fixing does not fully exhaust all the gauge freedoms of the full theory. The equations of motion corresponding to the above scalar fluctuations exhibit residual gauge and/or diffeomorphism invariance [3]. As a result the most general gauge invariant fluctuation turns out to be,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Z} = -c_1(r)H_{tt}(r) + c_2(r)H_{tx}(r) + c_3(r)H_{xx}(r)\\ - q^2\Big(r^{2z}f(r)H_{tt}(r)\Big)' + 2q\omega\Big(r^2H_{tx}(r)\Big)'\\ + \omega^2\Big(r^2H_{xx}(r)\Big)'\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where the individual coefficients (c_i) turn out to be,

$$c_1(r) = \frac{\left(q^2r^3(M-r)(M+r)\left(q^2r^2\left(6M^2-23Mr^2+18r^4\right)+\omega^2\left(M-2r^2\right)\right)\right)}{\left(M-r^2\right)\left(\omega^2+q^2r^2\left(2M-3r^2\right)\right)}$$

$$c_2(r) = 2qr^2\omega\left(\frac{r}{M-r^2} - \frac{4q^2r\left(M-3r^2\right)}{\omega^2+q^2r^2\left(2M-3r^2\right)} - \frac{1}{r}\right)$$

$$c_3(r) = \frac{\left(r\omega^2\left(q^2r^2\left(6M^2-23Mr^2+18r^4\right)+\omega^2\left(M-2r^2\right)\right)\right)}{\left(r^2-M\right)\left(\omega^2+q^2r^2\left(2M-3r^2\right)\right)}. \tag{18}$$

In order to arrive at (17) we have used the following metric redefinitions namely,

$$\begin{aligned}h_{xx} &= e^{-i\omega t+iqx}r^2H_{xx}(r)\\ h_{tx} &= e^{-i\omega t+iqx}r^2H_{tx}(r)\\ h_{tt} &= -e^{-i\omega t+iqx}r^{2z}f(r)H_{tt}(r).\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Note that here prime denotes derivative with respect to the radial coordinate (r).

Using the linearized equations of motion of individual scalar perturbations, it is indeed quite interesting to note that unlike the previous examples in the literature [3], the gauge invariant combination (17) satisfies a sixth order linear differential equation of the type,

$$\begin{aligned}A_0(r,\omega,q)\mathcal{Z}'''''' + A_1(r,\omega,q)\mathcal{Z}''''' + A_2(r,\omega,q)\mathcal{Z}'''' +\\ A_3(r,\omega,q)\mathcal{Z}''' + A_4(r,\omega,q)\mathcal{Z}'' + A_5(r,\omega,q)\mathcal{Z}' +\\ A_6(r,\omega,q)\mathcal{Z} = 0\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

where details of the coefficients (A_i) are too cumbersome and not quite illuminating.

Next, we explore (20) in two asymptotic regions namely, near the boundary ($r \to \infty$) of the spacetime and at the horizon ($r = r_h = 1$). We first consider the following ansatz for $\mathcal{Z}$,

$$\mathcal{Z} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right)^{\alpha} F(r). \tag{21}$$

Considering the near horizon expansion and considering the ingoing wave boundary condition [3], the parameter α could be read off as,

$$\alpha = -\frac{i\omega}{4\pi T_h} = -\frac{i\omega}{2}. \tag{22}$$

Next, considering the large r limit we expand $F(r)$ in the frequency (ω) as well as in the momentum (q),

$$F(r) = F_0(r)+\omega F_1(r)+q\,F_2(r)+\omega^2F_3(r)+\omega\,qF_4(r)+q^2F_5(r) \tag{23}$$

and extract the finite piece in the limit $r \to \infty$. Keeping those finite pieces intact and considering the asymptotic normalization condition [3],

$$F(\infty) = 0 \tag{24}$$

we finally arrive at the cherished dispersion relation of the following form [3],

$$\omega = \sqrt{3}q - \frac{i}{2}\Gamma q^2 \tag{25}$$

where,

$$\Gamma T_h = \frac{\zeta}{s} = \frac{1}{4\pi}\left(1 - \frac{3\lambda}{4}\right) \approx 0.1989 \tag{26}$$

which clearly seems to preserve the conjectured lower bound [7, 23] as λ is negative. Eq.(26) represents the full *non perturbative* NMG correction to ζ/s ratio in $1+1$ dimensions. In [24], it has been argued for the first time that the presence of effective coupling for gravitons could modify the sound damping coefficient. However, the arguments of [24] are mostly valid for conformal case, whereas on the other hand, our analysis might be regarded as the first non trivial example in the context of non conformal fluids.

VI. SUMMARY AND FINAL REMARKS

Let us now summarize the key findings of our analysis. The present analysis has two major outcomes. The first observation tells us that the speed of sound propagation (v_s) inside a $z = 3$ strongly coupled plasma in $1+1$ dimensions clearly exceeds the conjectured upper bound. This observation is not surprising in the context of non relativistic hydrodynamics where the Lorentz boost symmetry is explicitly broken near the UV fixed point of the theory. Finally and most importantly, we note that the nonperturbative NMG correction to ζ/s ratio does not violate the conjectured lower bound in $1+1$ dimensions. This is the first nonperturbative higher derivative correction to ζ/s ratio to the best of our knowledge.

There are some real life condensed matter systems where $z = 3$ fixed point is realized exactly [10, 11]. Information regarding the speed of sound could in principle be inferred by utilizing certain specific data in a systematic manner. Heuristically, thermal energy is related to the density of states in the following manner [21],

$$E_{TH} = \int d\omega \mathfrak{D}(\omega) < n(\omega) > \hbar\omega \tag{27}$$

where the density of the states ($\mathfrak{D}(\omega)$) is related to the group velocity of the phonon excitations of the medium,

$$\mathfrak{D}(\omega)d\omega = \int \frac{dl_\omega}{v_s} d\omega \tag{28}$$

where l_ω is the line element over the two dimensional surface in the momentum space . Combining (27) and (28) one can in fact relate thermal energy with the speed of sound propagation (v_s) as,

$$E_{TH} = \int d\omega \frac{dl_\omega}{v_s} < n(\omega) > \hbar\omega\,. \tag{29}$$

Finally, the specific heat could be found as,

$$C = \frac{\partial E_{TH}}{\partial T} \tag{30}$$

which therefore suggests that one should in principle be able to estimate the speed of sound (v_s) from the knowledge of heat capacity (C) (30). In other words, experimentally one can measure the velocity of sound by knowing the corresponding data on specific heat for the underlying system. There are in fact some systems where the dynamical exponent (z) is very close to 3 [10], and the specific heat measurements are also performed for them. So we hope that the information regarding the speed of sound (v_s) could in principle be estimated for fluids in $1+1$ dimensions and this opens up the possibility to test various predictions of Gauge/gravity duality in near future.

Acknowledgements: Authors acknowledge Aninda Sinha and Justin David for useful discussions. Authors also would like convey their special thanks to Alex Buchel for going through the draft and giving valuable comments. The authors also acknowledge the financial support from CHEP, IISc, Bangalore.

---

[3] As the gauge invariant combination satisfies a six order differential equation (20) and there are in fact two boundary conditions namely, the in going wave boundary condition and the asymptotic boundary condition (24), therefore in principle we can uniquely fix two of the six unknown coefficients. Surprisingly the special combination of the remaining four coefficients get uniquely fixed once we identify the leading coefficient (associated with q) on the r.h.s of (25) as the speed of sound propagation (8) for the boundary hydrodynamics. This automatically fixes the ζ/s ratio too.

[1] L.D. Landau & E.M. Lifshitz Fluid Mechanics (Volume 6 of A Course of Theoretical Physics) Pergamon Press 1959

[2] G. Policastro, D. T. Son and A. O. Starinets, "The Shear viscosity of strongly coupled N=4 supersymmetric Yang-Mills plasma," Phys. Rev. Lett. **87** (2001) 081601 [hep-th/0104066]. P. Kovtun, D. T. Son and A. O. Starinets, "Viscosity in strongly interacting quantum field theories from black hole physics," Phys. Rev. Lett. **94** (2005) 111601 [hep-th/0405231]. D. T. Son and

A. O. Starinets, "Minkowski space correlators in AdS / CFT correspondence: Recipe and applications," JHEP **0209** (2002) 042 [hep-th/0205051].

[3] G. Policastro, D. T. Son and A. O. Starinets, "From AdS / CFT correspondence to hydrodynamics. 2. Sound waves," JHEP **0212** (2002) 054 [hep-th/0210220]. C. P. Herzog, "The Sound of M theory," Phys. Rev. D **68** (2003) 024013 [hep-th/0302086].

[4] E. Ayon-Beato, A. Garbarz, G. Giribet and M. Hassaine, "Lifshitz Black Hole in Three Dimensions," Phys. Rev. D **80** (2009) 104029 [arXiv:0909.1347 [hep-th]].

[5] A. Cherman, T. D. Cohen and A. Nellore, "A Bound on the speed of sound from holography," Phys. Rev. D **80** (2009) 066003 [arXiv:0905.0903 [hep-th]].

[6] P. M. Hohler and M. A. Stephanov, "Holography and the speed of sound at high temperatures," Phys. Rev. D **80** (2009) 066002 [arXiv:0905.0900 [hep-th]].

[7] A. Buchel, "Bulk viscosity of gauge theory plasma at strong coupling," Phys. Lett. B **663** (2008) 286 [arXiv:0708.3459 [hep-th]].

[8] M. Brigante, H. Liu, R. C. Myers, S. Shenker and S. Yaida, "The Viscosity Bound and Causality Violation," Phys. Rev. Lett. **100** (2008) 191601 [arXiv:0802.3318 [hep-th]].

[9] C. Eling and Y. Oz, "A Novel Formula for Bulk Viscosity from the Null Horizon Focusing Equation," JHEP **1106** (2011) 007 [arXiv:1103.1657 [hep-th]]. S. S. Gubser, S. S. Pufu and F. D. Rocha, "Bulk viscosity of strongly coupled plasmas with holographic duals," JHEP **0808** (2008) 085 [arXiv:0806.0407 [hep-th]]. A. Yarom, "Notes on the bulk viscosity of holographic gauge theory plasmas," JHEP **1004** (2010) 024 [arXiv:0912.2100 [hep-th]]. A. Buchel, U. Gursoy and E. Kiritsis, "Holographic bulk viscosity: GPR versus EO," JHEP **1109** (2011) 095 [arXiv:1104.2058 [hep-th]]. A. Buchel, "Violation of the holographic bulk viscosity bound," Phys. Rev. D **85** (2012) 066004 [arXiv:1110.0063 [hep-th]]. C. Hoyos, B. S. Kim and Y. Oz, "Bulk Viscosity in Holographic Lifshitz Hydrodynamics," JHEP **1403** (2014) 050 [arXiv:1312.6380 [hep-th]].

[10] Löhneysen, H. v. and Pietrus, T. and Portisch, G. and Schlager, H. G. and Schröder, A. and Sieck, M. and Trappmann, T. "Non-Fermi-liquid behavior in a heavy-fermion alloy at a magnetic instability", Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 3262

[11] Oganesyan, Vadim and Kivelson, Steven A. and Fradkin, Eduardo "Quantum theory of a nematic Fermi fluid", PhysRevB.64.195109 [arXiv:cond-mat/0102093] Electron Nematic Phase in a Transition Metal Oxide Eduardo Fradkin, Steven A. Kivelson and Vadim Oganesyan Science 12 January 2007: 315 (5809), 196-197.

[12] E. A. Bergshoeff, O. Hohm and P. K. Townsend, "Massive Gravity in Three Dimensions," Phys. Rev. Lett. **102** (2009) 201301 [arXiv:0901.1766 [hep-th]].

[13] A. Buchel and R. C. Myers, "Causality of Holographic Hydrodynamics," JHEP **0908** (2009) 016 [arXiv:0906.2922 [hep-th]].

[14] A. Bhattacharyya, M. Sharma and A. Sinha, "On generalized gravitational entropy, squashed cones and holography," JHEP **1401** (2014) 021 [arXiv:1308.5748 [hep-th]].

[15] E. Ayon-Beato, M. Bravo-Gaete, F. Correa, M. Hassaine, M. M. Juarez-Aubry and J. Oliva, "First law and anisotropic Cardy formula for three-dimensional Lifshitz black holes," Phys. Rev. D **91** (2015) 6, 064006 [arXiv:1501.01244 [gr-qc]].

[16] H. A. Gonzalez, D. Tempo and R. Troncoso, "Field theories with anisotropic scaling in 2D, solitons and the microscopic entropy of asymptotically Lifshitz black holes," JHEP **1111** (2011) 066 [arXiv:1107.3647 [hep-th]].

[17] O. Hohm and E. Tonni, "A boundary stress tensor for higher-derivative gravity in AdS and Lifshitz backgrounds," JHEP **1004** (2010) 093 [arXiv:1001.3598 [hep-th]].

[18] Y. S. Myung, Y. W. Kim and Y. J. Park, "Dilaton gravity approach to three dimensional Lifshitz black hole," Eur. Phys. J. C **70** (2010) 335 [arXiv:0910.4428 [hep-th]].

[19] Y. Gim, W. Kim and S. H. Yi, "The first law of thermodynamics in Lifshitz black holes revisited," JHEP **1407** (2014) 002 [arXiv:1403.4704 [hep-th]].

[20] Interested readers are advised to go through the following discussions and results in the context of holographic non relativistic fixed points,
P. Kovtun and A. Ritz, "Universal conductivity and central charges," Phys. Rev. D **78** (2008) 066009 [arXiv:0806.0110 [hep-th]]. D. W. Pang, JHEP **1001** (2010) 120 [arXiv:0912.2403 [hep-th]].

[21] Charles Kittel, Introduction to Solid State Physics, John Wiley & Sons, Inc, 1986, New York, 6th edition.

[22] P. K. Kovtun and A. O. Starinets, "Quasinormal modes and holography," Phys. Rev. D **72** (2005) 086009 [hep-th/0506184].

[23] J. R. David, M. Mahato, S. Thakur and S. R. Wadia, "Hydrodynamics of R-charged D1-branes," JHEP **1101**, 014 (2011) [arXiv:1008.4350 [hep-th]]. J. R. David, M. Mahato and S. R. Wadia, "Hydrodynamics from the D1-brane," JHEP **0904**, 042 (2009) [arXiv:0901.2013 [hep-th]].

[24] R. Brustein and A. J. M. Medved, "The sound damping constant for generalized theories of gravity," Phys. Rev. D **79**, 126012 (2009) [arXiv:0901.2191 [hep-th]].